



THE FEL-OUM CORPUS PROJECT

Final report

Hazidi Abdul Hamid



What was our objective?

- To gain an understanding of the mastery of English at the syntactical level among the mature ODL learners based on corpus analysis.

What did we study?

- We studied a corpus that we built ourselves

The corpus we built

- >29K assignment papers
 - *OUMH2103 & OUMH2203.*
 - 100% in English
 - 100% submitted online
 - Taken by all students (all subjects)
- >15 Million words

The random sampling

- 5000 papers selected
 - *Random selection*
 - *Cleaned for bugs*

The corpus analysis tool

- Wordsmith 6:0 - <http://www.lexically.net/wordsmith/>
- What we looked for;
 - *Collocates: words that occur together. We only used,*
 - R1 (target word & first word to the right. E.g.. BE + given
 - L1 (target word & first word to the left. E.g.. Must + BE
 - *Clusters: cluster of words that form the environment with the +R1 or +L1 in the middle: cluster of 3 words to the left and right.*

What we looked for?

- Selected members of the closed word classes: prepositions, auxiliary verbs, modals, etc.
 - *BE: am, is, are,*
 - *HAVE: has, had,*
 - *CAN*

Why did we look for these?

- They do not change
- They are essential elements of core grammatical constituents

What we found?

- Analysis did not show significant ungrammatical construction within the R1 & L1 pairs.
- Confirmed by analysis of the clusters.

Where there ungrammatical constructions?

- Yes but they are at the prosodic level and beyond

What does this imply?

- The subjects know how to build the actual phrases (NP, VP, AdvP, PP, etc.)
- The mistakes that the subjects did were at the prosodic level and beyond: idiomatics, semantics, pragmatics, conventions etc.

How does this affect OUM?

- Our students can process formal grammatical content in re-acquaintance / revision courses
- It may be prudent to teach them English in context: English for Specific Purposes.